

Riesz Representation Thm.

Consider Locally Compact Hausdorff (LCH) spaces X and Borel σ -algebra \mathcal{B}_X

Recall. LCH $\Leftrightarrow \forall x \in X$ has an open nbhd U s.t. \bar{U} is compact.

Will be concerned w/ Radon measures, which form a class of measures that in some sense try to imitate main prop's of the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n .

Some definitions: $\forall \mu$ be a Borel measure on X

① μ is inner regular on $E \in \mathcal{B}_X$ if

$$\mu(E) = \sup \{ \mu(K) : K \subseteq E \text{ compact} \}$$

② μ is outer regular on $E \in \mathcal{B}_X$ if

$$\mu(E) = \inf \{ \mu(U) : E \subseteq U \text{ open} \}$$

③ μ is regular if both inner and outer regular on all $E \in \mathcal{E}_X$

④ μ is Radon if

(i) $\mu(K) < \infty \forall K \subseteq X$ compact.

(ii) μ is outer regular on all $E \in \mathcal{E}_X$.

(iii) μ is inner regular on open sets.

We will adopt Folland's notation. If U is an open set

$f \in \mathcal{L}(U)$

space of cont. fns w/ compact supp

means that $f \in C_c(X)$, $0 \leq f \leq 1$, and $\text{supp } f \subseteq U$.

Outline of pf:

- (a) Establish uniqueness by showing (1)
 - (b) Define outer measure μ^* starting w/
(1) as def. of candidate for μ .
 - (c) Show all open U are μ^* -measurable
($\mu^*(E) = \mu^*(E \cap U) + \mu^*(E \cap U^c), \forall E$)
 - (d) Establish (2) for resulting Borel measure
 $\mu \Rightarrow \mu$ is Radon.
 - (e) Finally, show $I(f) = \int f d\mu, \forall f \in C_c(X)$.
-

Pf.

- (a) Suppose μ is Radon measure as in
RRT, i.e. $I(f) = \int f d\mu, \forall f \in C_c(X)$.
Let U be open set. If $f \leq 1_U$ then
clearly $\int f d\mu \leq \mu(U)$.

Suppose $c < \mu(U)$. Since μ is inner reg. on open sets $\exists K \subseteq U$ compact s.t. $c < \mu(K) \leq \mu(U)$. By Urysohn (Prop 4.52) $\exists f \in C \leq 1$ s.t. $f=1$ on K . Thus

$$I(f) = \int f d\mu \geq \mu(K) > c$$

This proves (1). Thus, μ is uniquely determined on open sets. Since μ is outer regular on \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$\left(\mu(E) = \inf \{ \mu(U) : E \subseteq U \text{ open} \} \right)$$

we conclude that μ is uniquely det. on \mathbb{R}^n , which establishes uniqueness.

(b) To establish existence, we define a candidate by (1), and define

$$\mu^*(E) = \inf \{ \mu(U) : E \subseteq U \}, E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \quad (3)$$

Lemma 1. μ^* is an outer measure.

Pf of L1. By def of μ^* and Prop. 1.10, suffices to show that for any seq. $\{U_j\}$ of open sets

$$\mu(U) \leq \sum_j \mu(U_j); \quad U = \bigcup_j U_j.$$

Pick $\varepsilon > 0$. By def $\exists f \prec U$ s.t.

$$I(f) \geq \mu(U) - \varepsilon.$$

Let $K = \text{supp}(f) \subseteq U$ cpct. Thus, \exists

$$n \text{ s.t. } K \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^n U_j.$$

Prop 4.41

There is a partition of unity $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^n$ subordinate to $\{U_j\}_{j=1}^n$, i.e.,

- $g_j \prec U_j$

- $\sum_{j=1}^n g_j = 1$

Consider $f_j = f \chi_{U_j} \leq U_j$. Note:

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j.$$

\Rightarrow

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(U) &\leq I(f) + \varepsilon = \sum_{j=1}^n I(f_j) + \varepsilon \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \mu(U_j) + \varepsilon \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(U_j) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrary, we have proved the lemma. \square

(c) Lemma 2. All open sets are μ^* -measurable.

pf. Let $E \subseteq X$ and U open. Suffices to show

$$\mu^*(E) \geq \mu^*(E \cap U) + \mu^*(E \cap U^c). \quad (4)$$

Pick $\varepsilon > 0$ and V open s.t. $\mu^*(E) \geq \mu(V) - \varepsilon$

Clearly, for open V $\mu^*(V) = \mu(V)$, and

$$V \cap U^c = V \setminus U.$$

Choose $f_1 \in V \cap U$ s.t. $I(f_1) \geq \mu(V \cap U) - \varepsilon$
 and then $f_2 \in V \setminus \text{supp } f_1 \supseteq V \setminus U$ s.t.

$$I(f_2) \geq \mu(V \setminus \text{supp } f_1) - \varepsilon$$

Observe that $f = f_1 + f_2 \in V$ and
 $\mu(V \setminus \text{supp } f_1) \geq \mu^*(V \setminus U) \Rightarrow$

$$\mu^*(E) \geq \mu(V) - \varepsilon \geq I(f) - \varepsilon = I(f_1) + I(f_2) - \varepsilon$$

$$\geq \mu(V \cap U) + \mu(V \setminus \text{supp } f_1) - 3\varepsilon$$

$$\stackrel{||}{\geq} \mu^*(V \cap U) + \mu^*(V \setminus U) - 3\varepsilon$$

$$\geq \mu^*(E \cap U) + \mu^*(E \setminus U) - 3\varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrary, we have proved (4)
 and, hence, Lemma 2. \square

By Carathéodory's Thm, we get a Borel measure
 μ (actually, can extend to a complete measure).

(The fact that μ now denotes the resulting measure
 should cause no confusion.)

Lemma 3. (2) holds for the Borel measure μ .

(d) Pf. Since I positive, $0 \leq f \leq g \Rightarrow$

$$I(f) \leq I(g).$$

Again, pick $\varepsilon > 0$ and $f \geq \chi_K$ for some
cpt K . Consider open set $U_\varepsilon = \{x: f > 1 - \varepsilon\} \Rightarrow$
 $K \subset U_\varepsilon. \Rightarrow \mu(K) \leq \mu(U_\varepsilon) = \sup\{I(g): g \in U_\varepsilon\}.$

If $g \in U_\varepsilon$, then $g \leq \frac{f}{1 - \varepsilon} \Rightarrow I(g) \leq I(\frac{f}{1 - \varepsilon}).$

$$\Rightarrow \mu(K) \leq \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon} I(f) \Rightarrow \{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0\}$$

$$\mu(K) \leq \inf \{I(f): f \geq \chi_K\}.$$

By outer reg. of μ (as noted, follows from def.
of μ^*), \exists open $U \supset K$ s.t. $\mu(K) \geq \mu(U) - \varepsilon$

By Urysohn, $\exists f \geq \chi_K, f \leq 1$. Thus,

$$\mu(K) \geq \mu(U) - \varepsilon \geq I(f) - \varepsilon. \Rightarrow \{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0\}$$

$$\mu(K) \geq \inf \{I(f): f \geq \chi_K\} \text{ as}$$

desired. \square

We now have both (1) and (2) for the Borel measure μ . We claim that μ is Radon: Need to check

- $\mu(K) < \infty$, \forall cpt K . (Clear from (2))
- outer regular on \mathcal{B}_X (as noted, this follows by def. of μ^*).
- inner reg. on open sets.

Let's prove last •: Let U open, pick $\varepsilon > 0$ as usual. By (1), $\exists f \geq \chi_U$ s.t. $I(f) \geq \mu(U) - \varepsilon$.

Let $K = \text{supp } f$. For any $g \geq \chi_K$ we have $g \geq f \Rightarrow I(g) \geq I(f) \geq \mu(U) - \varepsilon$. By (2) $\exists g_\varepsilon \geq \chi_K$ s.t. $I(g_\varepsilon) \leq \mu(K) + \varepsilon \Rightarrow$

$$\mu(U) \leq I(g_\varepsilon) + \varepsilon \leq \mu(K) + 2\varepsilon$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrary, we find that

$$\mu(U) = \sup \{ \mu(K) : K \subseteq U \text{ cpt} \}.$$

□

(c) We now have a candidate Radon measure μ .
Last, but not least, we must show:

Lemma 4 $\int f d\mu = \int f dx$, $\forall f \in C_c(X)$ (5)

Pf. Since any $f \in C_c(X)$ decomposes into real & imag. parts and each of these decomposes into a pos. and neg. part,

Linearity of the integral \Rightarrow suffices to check (5) for $0 \leq f \leq 1$. Pick such f .

Construction: Let $K_0 = \text{supp } f$. Choose $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and define $K_j = \{x: f \geq j/n\}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. \Rightarrow
all compact

$K_0 \supseteq K_1 \supseteq K_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq K_n$ (where K_j could be \emptyset .)

$$\text{Let } f_j(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n}, & x \in K_j \\ f(x) - \frac{j-1}{n}, & x \in K_{j-1} \setminus K_j \\ 0, & x \in K_{j-1}^c \end{cases}$$

One checks readily $f_j \in C_c(\mathbb{R}, [0, 1])$
and

$$\frac{1}{n} \chi_{K_j} \leq f_j \leq \frac{1}{n} \chi_{K_{j+1}}. \quad (6)$$

if $x \in K_{j-1} \setminus K_j$ then
 $f < j/n \Rightarrow f_j < j/n - \frac{j-1}{n} = \frac{1}{n}$

Also note that if $x \in K_{\ell+1} \setminus K_\ell$, for any $1 \leq \ell \leq n$,

$$f_j(x) = 0, \quad j \geq \ell+1, \Rightarrow$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n f_j(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} f_j(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} \frac{1}{n} + f(x) - \frac{\ell-1}{n} = f(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^n f_j = f.$$

Integrate (6) ^{wrt μ} and sum over $j \Rightarrow$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mu(K_j) \leq \int f d\mu \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mu(K_j) \quad (7)$$

Next, the first inequality in (6) and (2) \Rightarrow

$$\mu(K_j) \leq n I(f_j).$$

Since μ is outer reg. on all Borel sets,

for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find open $U \supseteq K_{j-1}$

s.t. $\mu(K_{j-1}) \geq \mu(U) - \varepsilon$. Note that $n f_j \leq U \Rightarrow$

(by (1)); $n I(f_j) \leq \mu(U) \leq \mu(K_{j-1}) + \varepsilon$

$\Rightarrow \{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0\} \Rightarrow n I(f_j) \leq \mu(K_{j-1})$. Again,

summing over j and dividing by $n \Rightarrow$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mu(K_j) \leq I(f) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mu(K_j). \quad (8)$$

(7) + (8) \Rightarrow { consider $I(f) - \int f d\mu$ & $\int f d\mu - I(f)$ }

$|I(f) - \int f d\mu| \leq \frac{\mu(K_0) - \mu(K_n)}{n} \leq \frac{\mu(K_0)}{n}$
suppl \searrow and indep. of n

Since K_0 compact, $\mu(K_0) < \infty$, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$

we find $I(f) = \int f d\mu$ as desired, which

proves Lemma 4 \square This completes pt of RRT. \square

Remark. The measure $\bar{\mu}$ we obtain (by Carathéodory) by restricting the outer measure μ^* in the proof to the full σ -algebra \mathcal{M} of all μ^* -measurable sets (which as we proved contains \mathcal{B}_X) is a complete measure, which turns out to be the completion of the Radon measure μ if μ is σ -finite and the saturation of the completion of μ in the general case.

